UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,726	10/19/2001	Edward J. Shoen	12521-021	3972	
48276 TIFFANY & B	7590 08/23/200 OSCO	EXAM	EXAMINER		
CAMELBACK ESPLANADE II, THIRD FLOOR			POND, RO	POND, ROBERT M	
	2525 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD PHOENIX, AZ 85016			PAPER NUMBER	
. 11102511111,112	. 05010		3625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/083,726	SHOEN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert M. Pond	3625			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to treply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	<u>ay 2007</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims		•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the Education is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be supported in the drawing(s) is objected to by the Education of the E	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

All pending claims 1-21 were examined in this final office action.

Response to Arguments

Rejection of claims 11-15 and 21under 35 USC 112 2nd and 101 is withdrawn due to amendment.

Applicant's arguments filed 29 May 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant's position regarding Raveis, Jr. in combination with Gigloff. Although Raveis, Jr. does not specifically disclose payment for services, it is clear that the type of businesses involved expect to receive payment for products and services rendered. Gigloff discloses primary and secondary transactions associated with the use of an escrow account. The use of escrow accounts in making payments between two or more parties is widely known and driven by market forces to make use of the Internet while conducting business safer for all parties involved. Gigloff provides teaching pertinent to the use of an escrow settlement.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,726 Page 3

Art Unit: 3625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1-5, 10, 11, and 16-21 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raveis, JR (Paper#20051130, US 2001/0037230, which incorporates in its entirety Raveis, JR, US Patent Application 09/459,234, now US Patent 6,321,202 herein referred to as Raveis '202) in view of Gilgoff (PTO-892, Item: U).

Raveis, JR (hereinafter Raveis) teaches a system and method of providing moving and relocating relocation services (see at least abstract; Figs. 1-5; paragraphs 0001-0014). Raveis futher teaches:

- processing an originating transaction by accepting customer input
 requested by a host; system offers a complete explanation of truck rental service, consumer requests truck rental service (see at least Fig. 1 (24, 40), and consumer completes order form for truck rental service (see at least Fig. 2 (40-142); 0025-0034).
- enabling a customer in the computer network marketplace to access
 content describing an auxiliary service relating to the originating
 transaction; consumer can request other service information (see at least

Art Unit: 3625

Fig. 2 (150)) and return to main method flow as depicted in Fig. 1 and request an auxiliary service (e.g. box company service, storage service, and/or container service) (see at least Fig. 1 (3, 4, 5); 0035-0042);

- and processing a secondary transaction for the auxiliary service between the customer and a service provider wherein the service provider is listed in the marketplace at the discretion of the host, the customer input for the originating transaction is used by the host to expedite processing of the secondary transaction, consumer requests box company service, completes form, and system sends order to box company (see at least Fig. 3 (50, 252, 254); 0035); Inherent in Raveis are the structures that permit listing service providers at discretion of the host. Please note the entity that owns and/or operates the system controls what businesses are listed.
- <u>advertising</u>: databases for advertisers; advertising requests by customers
 (Raveis '202: see at least col. 6, lines 64-66).
- <u>receiving customer feedback relating to a vendor:</u> conducts customer surveys during a transaction (see at least Raveis '202) (i.e. once in the marketplace); conducts a post-move customer survey (i.e. application file still active) and stores the survey information (see at least Raveis: 0034).

Raveis teaches all the above as noted under the 103(a) rejection and teaches
a) an originating transaction and secondary transaction, b) a business entity
managing the online service providing a suite of services using third-party service

Art Unit: 3625

companies and vendors, c) providing customer satisfaction during the performance of third-party services being a major objective of the service, and d) facilitating revenue streams resulting from service provider costs associated with services rendered, but does not disclose facilitating escrow payments. Gilgoff teaches a company providing material and arranging third-party contractor services, and managing an escrow account. Gilgoff further teaches the company using some of the customer's payment for the products to be used (i.e. an originating transaction) and paying the contractor who does the work (i.e. a secondary transaction) by the company when the service is completed to the customer's satisfaction (i.e. protects customer's interests) (U: see pages 1 and 2). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention to modify the system and method of Raveis to facilitate payment via an escrow account managed by the company as taught by Gilgoff, in order to ensure customer satisfaction of services rendered through the host, and thereby attract customers to the online service.

2. Claims 6-8 and 14 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raveis (Paper# US 2001/0037230, which incorporates in its entirety Raveis, JR, US Patent Application 09/459,234, now US Patent 6,321,202) and Gilgoff (PTO-892, Item: U), as applied to claims 4 and 11, further in view of Diehl (PTO-892, Item: V).

Art Unit: 3625

Raveis and Gilgoff teach all the above as noted under the 103(a) rejection and teach coordinating through the system services supporting moving and relocation comprising truck rental services, box services (i.e. box company supplying packing boxes), storage services, and storage container services, but fail to disclose other auxiliary services. Diehl teaches an online service provided by Long & Foster Real Estate that lists merchants and home service providers mortgage, insurance, title insurance, home inspection, home warranty and moving services, plus painters, electricians, landscapers and other service providers (V: see page 1). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention to modify the system and method of Raveis and Gilgoff to provide additional access to home services as taught by Diehl, in order to attract potential movers to the service and thereby increase sales.

3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raveis (Paper#20051130, US 2001/0037230, which incorporates in its entirety Raveis, JR, US Patent Application 09/459,234, now US Patent 6,321,202) and Gilgoff (PTO-892, Item: U), as applied to claim 4, further in view of MENO (PTO-892, Item: W).

Raveis and Gilgoff teach all the above as noted under the 103(a) rejection and teach coordinating through the system services supporting moving and relocation comprising truck rental services, box services (i.e. box company

supplying packing boxes), storage services, and storage container services, but fail to disclose providing hotel information. MENO teaches Directmoving.com providing an extensive range of information and services to both HR professionals and relocating employees. MENO teaches individuals being able to find information on banks, doctors, travel arrangements for their pets, links to the home pages of kindergarten schools in various areas, language courses, a hotel guide and real estate information (W: see page 2). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention to modify the system and method of Raveis and Gilgoff to provide additional access to additional relocations services and hotel information as taught by MENO, in order to attract potential movers to the service and thereby increase sales.

4. Claim 15 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raveis (Paper#20051130, US 2001/0037230, which incorporates in its entirety Raveis, JR, US Patent Application 09/459,234, now US Patent 6,321,202 hereinafter referred to as Raveis '202) and Gilgoff (PTO-892, Item: U), as applied to claim 4, Official Notice (regarding notoriously old and well-known in the arts).

Raveis and Gilgoff teach all the above as noted under the 103(a) rejection and teach customers using the service resulting from a real estate transaction (Ravei '2020), and b) taking a customer application for move services, but do not disclose accepting input relating to the customer from an external source to

Art Unit: 3625

expedite processing customer input and processing vendor input. PR Newswire teaches The Examiner takes the position that it is notoriously old and well-known in the arts for businesses to accept information from an external source when processing customer applications. For example, customer information supplied by credit bureaus are used by business to approve or disapprove customer applications. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention to modify the system and method Raveis and Gilgoff to accept information from an external source as taught by Official Notice, in order to ascertain on-going requirements for improvement based on survey results, and thereby attract consumers and sellers to the service.

Page 8

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Pond whose telephone number is 571-272-6760. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Jeff Smith can be reached on 571-272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 3625

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 10

Robert M. Pond Primary Examiner August 20, 2007